



## **The Curia's case allocation order effective from 1 January 2023**

Based on the provisions of sections 8-10 of Act no. CLXI of 2011 on the Organisation and Administration of the Courts (hereinafter referred to as the Courts Act) – having regard to the opinions of the Curia's Criminal, Civil and Administrative Chambers and the Curia's Judicial Council –, and in accordance with the principles of completeness and abstraction, the principles of consistency and balancing of workload in the allocation of cases, the requirement of applying the same rules and the principle of the variability of case allocation techniques, I hereby determine the Curia's case allocation order, effective from 1 January 2023, as follows.

### **I. Legislative background**

1. According to section 8, subsection (1) of the Courts Act, no one can be deprived of his/her right to a lawful judge.
2. Pursuant to section 8, subsection (2) of the Courts Act, the judge to be appointed for a case in due course of the law shall be selected from the panel of judges of the court vested with competence and jurisdiction according to the case allocation order.
3. The case allocation order of a court shall define the structure and number of the panels of that court, the type of cases generally assigned to judges – including seconded judges – and to panels, or court secretaries carrying out the functions of a single judge in cases provided for by law, as well as the rules of substitutions if absent or unavailable, and shall designate the court leader in charge of the allocation of cases and the methods by which the cases are allocated. The case allocation order shall also specify the types of cases to be heard by court leaders presiding over trials, and shall separately define the allocation methods for such cases. The Curia's case allocation order shall, furthermore, provide for the appointment of judges to the municipality panel and the uniformity complaint panel [section 10, subsections (1)-(2) of the Courts Act].

## **II. The Curia's chambers and panels**

### **II.1. The Curia's chambers**

The Curia has a Criminal, Civil and Administrative Chamber. Within the Civil Chamber, there are three sections, a Civil Law Section, an Economic Law Section and a Labour Law Section.

### **II.2. The Curia's panels**

The Curia has adjudicating, municipality and uniformity complaint panels.

### **II.3. The Curia's case categories**

The case categories established at the Curia, broken down by chamber, are set out in chapters IV to VI of the present case allocation order.

### **II.4. The Curia's panels**

#### ***II.4.1. The composition of the panels***

Cases shall be heard by a five-member panel, the composition of which shall be determined by the relevant procedural laws and the present case allocation order, unless otherwise provided by law or the case allocation order. The members of the panels shall be designated by the case allocation order according to predetermined objective criteria laid down therein.

If the particular complexity of a case to be heard by a three-member panel under the relevant rules of procedure so justifies, the head of the panel may order the case to be heard by a five-member panel, taking into account the criteria set out in Presidential Instruction no. 20/2020 of the President of the Curia on the rules for the establishment of five-member panels.

The heads of the panel shall alternate among themselves, according to the subject-matter of the case, the functions of the head of panel, and the head of the panel shall designate the judge-rapporteur in that order.

If the Curia acts in a three-member panel, it shall, as a general rule, consist of the two heads of panel and the judge who is the judge-rapporteur in the case; exceptions may be made for a reason and in a manner prescribed by law, as well as for an important reason affecting the Curia's functioning, by way of briefly stating the important reason in question. A note of the derogation shall be made and filed in the case file and shall be available to the parties. Where there are more than two heads of panel or more than three judges assigned to a panel, the

composition of the panel shall be determined differently from the general rule. In that case, the rotation of the heads of panel and the composition of the adjudicating panel shall be determined by the subject-matter of the case at hand and the experience of the heads of panel in their respective fields, taking into account the administrative-managerial responsibilities of the heads of panel as well. A note of the composition of the panel shall be placed in the case file and shall be available to the parties.

#### ***II.4.2. The general substitution order of the panels***

The case allocation order sets out the order of substitution between the panels on a chamber-by-chamber basis. In proceedings before a panel composed of five judges, where the number of panel members falls below five, the head of the panel shall, in the event of the head of panel being absent, be replaced by the head of panel designated in the inter-chambers substitution order, in the order of precedence from the beginning of an alphabetical list; in the event of a judge being absent, (s)he shall be replaced by a judge of the panel designated in the substitution order, in the order of precedence from the beginning of an alphabetical list.

In the proceedings of a three-member panel, the replacement of the members thereof shall be ensured primarily within the panel, so that in the event of the absence of a member of the adjudicating panel appointed in accordance with the Rules of Procedure of the Curia, the president of the panel shall be replaced by the other president of the panel, or, if there are more than two heads of panel, by the next head of panel in the alphabetical list, and a judge shall be replaced by the judge who is the next judge in the alphabetical list from among the judges of the panel.

If the substitution cannot be resolved within the panel or within the chamber, the judge concerned may be replaced by another judge assigned to a different panel or to a different section or chamber.

If the aforementioned general substitution order may not be followed for objective reasons arising from the Curia's functioning, the special substitution order shall be laid down in the part of the present case allocation order relating to the Curia's chambers. A note of the designation of the substitute judge shall be placed in the case file and shall be available to the parties.

#### ***II.4.3. The reallocation of cases***

Cases may be reallocated only exceptionally, in accordance with the applicable legal provisions [section 11, subsection (2) of the Courts Act and section 32 of Minister of Justice Decree no.

14/2002 IM (of 1 August 2002) on the rules of the courts' case management (hereinafter referred to as the Case Management Rules), in particular under the following circumstances:

- a) on the grounds for exclusion laid down in the procedural laws
- b) in order to enforce the case allocation order
- c) due to an organisational change
- d) due to a close link with the previous procedure
- e) with the aim of providing a balanced workload between the various panels

In order to ensure the timeliness of adjudication and to process the backlog of cases, in the event of a substantiated request for exclusion or objection of bias reported by the party, the case should be reallocated within the panel concerned. If this is not possible, the case should be reallocated to the panel that would have received it as next in line under the applicable case allocation technique.

An official note of the reallocation must be drawn up and placed in the case file to enable the parties to consult it.

### **III. The general rules of case allocation**

#### **III.1. The definition of case allocation**

The allocation of a case is a process to designate the person(s) authorised to act in the case in accordance with the present case allocation order.

#### **III.2. Persons entitled to allocate cases**

The names of the persons entitled to allocate cases and the arrangements for their replacement are set out in the part of the present case allocation order relating to the Curia's chambers.

#### **III.3. The method of the allocation of cases**

The allocation of cases between panels dealing with the same type of cases is automatic, or it is carried out in a mixed way under the conditions set out in the case allocation order. If, after the case has been closed, the case is reopened under a new case number (by decision of the head of panel) following a suspension, interruption, adjournment or annulment of the decision by the Constitutional Court or the Curia's uniformity complaint panel, or following a referral, or following a resubmission as a result of a quashing or an interlocutory or partial judgment, the case has to be allocated to the same panel that has already heard it. In the event of a joining of cases, they shall be merged into one and shall be given their lowest case number and, if the

cases to be joined were originally allocated to different panels, the case to be joined shall be reallocated to the panel requesting the joining of cases based on the instructions of the head of the panel hearing the case into which the case to be joined should be merged. The Curia's President, Vice-President, Head of Chamber and Secretary General shall – unless otherwise justified by the arrival of new cases or the need to replace the court leaders mentioned – carry out their adjudicating activity for at least one week per month, in accordance with the general rules of the case allocation order.

### **III.4. Deviations from the case allocation order**

#### ***III.4.1. In cases regulated by the procedural laws***

- a) priority handling
- b) exclusion of a judge
- c) joining of cases, joint adjudication

#### ***III.4.2. Work organisational reasons***

- a) provision of a balanced workload
- b) processing the backlog of cases
- c) labour-intensive and voluminous cases

#### ***III.4.3. In case of an extraordinary event or situation affecting the Curia's functioning***

Deviations from the case allocation order may be decided by the persons entitled to allocate cases, referred to in point III.2.

### **III.5. The allocation of cases during judicial vacations**

During the judicial vacations defined by the procedural laws, a specific on-call, standby order shall be applied.

## **IV. Criminal Chamber**

### **IV.1. The chamber's case categories**

Judicial review (Bfv), third-instance appeal (Bhar), third-instance appeal involving juvenile offenders (Fkhar), remedy petition in the interest of legality (Bt), extra-judicial (Bpk), extra-judicial appeal (Bpkf), designation of the court to act (Bkk), objection against the protraction of proceedings (Bkif), annulment of a court decision (Bs), appeal against the second instance court's quashing decision (Hkf)

### **IV.2. The head of the chamber**

The chamber is headed by Head of Chamber Dr. Zsolt Csák.

### **IV.3. The person entitled to allocate cases**

The cases are allocated by Head of Chamber Dr. Zsolt Csák.

### **IV.4. The method of the allocation of cases**

Cases are automatically assigned to the three criminal panels in their ascending order of arrival. Cases that are interconnected and related to the same main proceedings are to be assigned to the same panel.

### **IV.5. Deviation from the case allocation order**

Deviations from the case allocation order may be made in accordance with the general rules of the present case allocation order, under the circumstances set out in point III.4.

### **IV.6. The composition of the panels and their substitution order**

The composition and substitution order of the panels shall be governed by points II.4.1 and II.4.2 of the present case allocation order.

On the basis of section 10, subsection (2) of the Courts Act, the Curia shall, with the exceptions indicated below, act in a panel of five professional judges.

The Curia, as a court of third instance, acts in a panel of three professional judges in the following cases: if the appeal cannot be heard because the impugned judgment is unfounded, or if the court of first instance or the court of second instance has rendered its judgment with a procedural infringement as defined in section 608, subsection (1) of Act no. XC of 2017 on the

Criminal Procedure (hereinafter referred to as the Code of Criminal Procedure), or if the appeal was filed pursuant to section 615, subsection (3), point b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The Curia shall act in a panel of three professional judges to hear an appeal against the order of the regional appellate court quashing the lower instance court's decision in the cases defined in section 627, subsection (1), points a) and b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and in section 627, subsection (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure; an appeal against the order of the regional appellate court dismissing a petition for retrial; a petition for judicial review, at a panel meeting or public hearing, in the cases provided for in section 656, subsections (2) and (4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and a remedy petition in the interest of legality, if the means of remedy is to be rejected.

The Curia shall act in a panel of three judges in proceedings at second and third instance, in proceedings to hear an appeal against an order quashing a lower instance court decision, in proceedings to hear an appeal against the regional appellate court's not-on-the-merits decision that could have been subject to legal remedy in first instance court proceedings, in proceedings for the designation of the court to act, in proceedings to hear an objection against the protraction of proceedings and in proceedings for the annulment of a court decision.

#### ***IV.6.1. The panels to act***

<b>Panel no. B.I</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Head of Panel Dr. Ágnes Zsuzsanna Gimesi Head of Panel Dr. Alexa Domonyai Dr. Zsolt Csák
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Péter Schmidt Dr. István Krisztián Tuba Dr. Andrea Kardos
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-

<b>Panel no. B.I</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	All criminal cases, including military criminal cases, but excluding cases involving traffic offences

<b>Panel no. B.II</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Gábor Somogyi Dr. Attila Harangozó
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Zsuzsanna Demeter Dr. Márton Metzinger Dr. Tibor Boros
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	All criminal cases, including cases involving traffic offences, but excluding cases subject to military prosecution

<b>Panel no. B.III</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Ferencné Molnár Dr. Levente Bartkó
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Eszter Ágnes Varga Dr. Szabolcs János Hornyák Dr. Marianna Csilla Idzigné Novák



<b>Panel no. B.III</b>	
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	All criminal cases, including military criminal cases, but excluding cases involving traffic offences

#### ***IV.6.2. The substitution order of the panels***

The following special substitution order shall apply to the substitution of the panels, in addition to the general arrangements set out in point II.4.2 of the present case allocation order:

- a) Panel no. B.I is to be replaced by Panel no. B.III,
- b) Panel no. B.II is to be replaced by Panel no. B.I,
- c) Panel no. B.III is to be replaced by Panel no. B.II.

The specific on-call and standby order, as set out in point III.5 of the present case allocation order, shall apply to proceedings relating to coercive measures.

#### **IV.7. The order of the reallocation of cases**

The reallocation of cases shall take place in accordance with the general rules set out in point II.4.3 of the present case allocation order.

### **V. Civil Chamber**

#### **V.1. Case categories heard by the chamber**

Legal actions in rem, legal actions on succession, legal actions for the enforcement of rights of personality, family law-related legal actions, legal actions launched on the basis of Chapter XXXI of Act no. CXXX of 2016 on the Code of Civil Procedure (hereinafter referred to as the Code of Civil Procedure), restraining orders, compulsory psychiatric review, legal actions related to the law of obligations (including disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health), cases related to data protection and data of public interest, intellectual property cases, litigious and non-litigious economic cases (registration of companies, NGOs, bankruptcy, liquidation),

litigious and non-litigious enforcement cases, labour litigation, appeals related to labour cases and other appeals, designation of the court to act.

## **V.2. The head of the chamber**

The chamber is headed by Head of Chamber Dr. Árpád Orosz, he is assisted in his managerial tasks by Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Rita Tánczos and Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Marianna Dzsula.

## **V.3. The persons entitled to allocate cases**

Cases are to be allocated by Head of Chamber Dr. Árpád Orosz, or in his absence by Vice-President Dr. Katalin Böszörményiné Kovács, Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Rita Tánczos and Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Marianna Dzsula. In the exceptional cases specified in the case allocation order, the head of the chamber decides on the reallocation of cases.

## **V.4. The method of the allocation of cases**

The allocation of cases between the panels in the Civil and Economic Law Sections shall be carried out by the person entitled to allocate cases as defined in point V.3 according to the following methods.

The allocation of cases between the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received. Family law-related cases, legal actions launched on the basis of Chapter XXXI of the Code of Civil Procedure, restraining orders and compulsory psychiatric review cases are dealt with by Panels no. P.I and P.II. The allocation of cases is automatic in the ratio of one (Panel no. P.I) to one (Panel no. P.II).

Litigious and non-litigious enforcement cases are dealt with by Panels no. P.II and P.VII. The allocation of cases between the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received. The allocation of cases is automatic in the ratio of three (Panel no. P.II) to one (Panel no. P.VII).

Legal actions in rem and legal actions on succession are dealt with by Panels no. P.I and P.VII. The allocation of cases between the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received. The allocation of cases is automatic in the ratio of one (Panel no. P.I) to two (Panel no. P.VII).

Disputes relating to injury to life, limb or health are dealt with by Panels no. P.III and P.VI. The allocation of cases between the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received. The allocation of cases is automatic in the ratio of one (Panel no. P.III) to one (Panel no. P.VI).

With the exceptions set out above, legal actions related to the law of obligations are dealt with by Panels no. P.I, P.II, P.III, P.V, P.VI and P.VII. The allocation of cases between the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received. The allocation of cases is automatic in the ratio of three (Panel no. P.I) to one (Panel no. P.II), one (Panel no. P.III), two (Panel no. P.V), two (Panel no. P.VI) and one (Panel no. P.VII).

With the exceptions set out above, litigious and non-litigious economic cases are dealt with by Panels no. P.III, P.V and P.VI. The allocation of cases between the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received. The allocation of cases is automatic in the ratio of three (Panel no. P.III) to three (Panel no. P.V) and four (Panel no. P.VI).

Labour law cases are dealt with by Panel no. P.VIII.

The allocation of cases for the designation of the court to act between the panels is automatic in the order in which the cases are received, with one case per panel.

Based on section 10, subsection (2) of the Courts Act, the Curia shall act in a panel of five professional judges.

#### **V.5. Deviation from the case allocation order**

Deviations from the case allocation order may be made in accordance with the general rules of the present case allocation order, under the circumstances set out in point III.4.

#### **V.6. The composition of the panels and their substitution order**

The composition and substitution order of the panels shall be governed by points II.4.1 and II.4.2 of the present case allocation order.

##### ***V.6.1. The panels to act***

<b>Panel no. P.I</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Ildikó Nyírőné Kiss Dr. Klára Szabó

<b>Panel no. P.I</b>	
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Szilvia Magosi Dr. Attila Zsolt Mocsár Dr. Mátyás Parlagi
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	Family law-related cases, legal actions based on Chapter XXXI of the Code of Civil Procedure Restricting orders, compulsory psychiatric review Legal actions in rem Legal actions in succession Legal actions related to the law of obligations (excluding disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health) Other appeals Designation of the court to act It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.

<b>Panel no. P.II</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Edit Hajdu Dr. Mára Harter <sup>1</sup> Acting Head of Panel Dr. Zsuzsanna Kövesné Kósa
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Szilvia Darákné Nagy Dr. Gábor Kiss <sup>2</sup> Dr. Zsuzsanna Mészárosné Szabó Dr. András Osztovits

---

<sup>1</sup> Exempted from work from 28 February 2023 until 29 May 2023.

<sup>2</sup> Until 8 January 2023.

<b>Panel no. P.II</b>	
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	<p>Family law-related cases, legal actions based on Chapter XXXI of the Code of Civil Procedure</p> <p>Restricting orders, compulsory psychiatric review</p> <p>Litigious and non-litigious enforcement cases</p> <p>Legal actions related to the law of obligations (excluding disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health)</p> <p>Other appeals</p> <p>Designation of the court to act</p> <p>It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.</p>

<b>Panel no. P.III</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	<p>Dr. Attila Farkas</p> <p>Dr. Judit Salamonné Piltz</p>
<b>Members of the panel</b>	<p>Dr. István Bajnok</p> <p>Dr. Mónika Gáspár</p> <p>Dr. Péter Zumbók</p>
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-

<b>Panel no. P.III</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	<p>Legal actions related to the law of obligations</p> <p>Disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health</p> <p>Litigious and non-litigious economic cases (excluding: company registration cases)</p> <p>Other appeals</p> <p>Designation of the court to act</p> <p>It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.</p>

<b>Panel no. P.IV</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	<p>Dr. Katalin Böszörményiné Kovács</p> <p>Dr. Zsuzsanna Kovács</p> <p>Dr. Marianna Stark</p>
<b>Members of the panel</b>	<p>Dr. Marianna Dzsula</p> <p>Dr. Árpád Pataki</p> <p>Dr. Zsuzsanna Véghné Szabó</p>
<b>Seconded judges</b>	-

<b>Panel no. P.IV</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	<p>Legal actions for the enforcement of rights of personality (excluding: disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health)</p> <p>Intellectual property cases</p> <p>Cases related to data protection and data of public interest</p> <p>Other appeals</p> <p>Designation of the court to act</p> <p>It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.</p>

<b>Panel no. P.V</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	<p>Dr. Géza Bartal</p> <p>Dr. Péter Puskás</p>
<b>Members of the panel</b>	<p>Dr. Attila Cseh</p> <p>Dr. Judit Anna Csesznok</p> <p>Dr. Ottilia Kocsis <sup>2</sup></p> <p>Dr. Krisztina Szolnokiné Csernay</p>
<b>Seconded judges</b>	-

---

<sup>2</sup> Exempted from work until 23 January 2023.

<b>Panel no. P.V</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	<p>Legal actions related to the law of obligations (excluding: disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health)</p> <p>Litigious and non-litigious economic cases (excluding: bankruptcy and liquidation cases, registration of legal persons)</p> <p>Other appeals</p> <p>Designation of the court to act</p> <p>It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.</p>

<b>Panel no. P.VI</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	<p>Dr. Attila Döme</p> <p>Dr. Katalin Simonné Gombos</p>
<b>Members of the panel</b>	<p>Dr. Andrea Csőke<sup>3</sup></p> <p>Dr. Antónia Farkas</p> <p>Dr. Anna Madarász</p> <p>Dr. Ágnes Tibold</p>
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-

---

<sup>3</sup> Exempted from work until 27 February 2023.



<b>Panel no. P.VI</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	<p>Legal actions related to the law of obligations</p> <p>Disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health</p> <p>Litigious and non-litigious economic cases (excluding: bankruptcy and liquidation cases, registration of NGOs)</p> <p>Other appeals</p> <p>Designation of the court to act</p> <p>It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.</p>

<b>Panel no. P.VII</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	<p>Dr. Árpád Orosz</p> <p>Dr. Ildikó Suba</p>
<b>Members of the panel</b>	<p>Dr. Judit Gyarmathy</p> <p>Dr. Rita Tánczos</p> <p>Dr. Edit Varga</p>
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-

<b>Panel no. P.VII</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	<p>Legal actions in rem</p> <p>Legal actions on succession</p> <p>Legal actions related to the law of obligations (excluding: disputes relating to injury to life, limb and health)</p> <p>Litigious and non-litigious enforcement cases</p> <p>Other appeals</p> <p>Designation of the court to act</p> <p>It shall also be entitled to act on matters falling within the remit of the panel to be replaced within the limits set out in point V.6.2.</p>

<b>Panel no. P.VIII</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	<p>Dr. Edit Hajdu</p> <p>Dr. Marianna Stark</p> <p>Dr. Ildikó Suba</p>
<b>Members of the panel</b>	<p>Dr. Zsuzsanna Mészárosné Szabó</p> <p>Dr. Krisztina Szolnokiné Csernay</p> <p>Dr. Rita Tánczos</p>
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-

<b>Panel no. P.VIII</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	Labour law cases Appeals related to labour cases and other appeals Designation of the court to act

### **V.6.2. The substitution order of the panels**

The following special substitution order shall apply to the substitution of the panels, in addition to the general arrangements set out in point II.4.2 of the present case allocation order:

- a) Panel no. P.I is to be replaced by Panel no. P.II and Panel P.VII,
- b) Panel no. P.II is to be replaced by Panel no. P.I,
- c) Panel no. P.III is to be replaced by Panels no. P.IV and no. P.VI,
- d) Panel no. P.IV is to be replaced by Panel no. P.III,
- e) Panel no. P.V is to be replaced by Panel no. P.VI,
- f) Panel no. P.VI is to be replaced by Panels no. P.III and no. P.V,
- g) Panel no. P.VII is to be replaced by Panels no. P.I and no. P.II,
- h) Panel no. P.VIII is to be replaced by the labour law judges of Panels no. P.IV, no. P.VI and no. P.VII.

### **V.7. The order of the reallocation of cases**

The reallocation of cases shall take place in accordance with the general rules set out in point II.4.3 of the present case allocation order.

## **VI. Administrative Chamber**

### **VI.1. The case categories heard by the chamber**

Judicial review of administrative cases, appeals related to administrative cases, social security, labour authority, employment security and civil service cases, election cases, cases relating to

the authentication of a referendum question and cases relating to the exercise of the right of assembly.

## **VI.2. The head of the chamber**

The chamber is headed by Head of Chamber Dr. Tibor Kalas, he is assisted in his managerial tasks by Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Péter Hajnal and Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Kálmán Sperka.

## **VI.3. The person entitled to allocate cases**

Cases are allocated by Head of Chamber Dr. Tibor Kalas. The allocation of cases, including social security, labour authority, employment security and civil service cases, is automatic within the framework of the present case allocation order. In the exceptional cases provided for by law and in the case allocation order, the head of the chamber may decide on the reallocation of cases. Social security, labour authority, employment safety, civil service and financial cases shall be allocated according to their subject. In these case categories, under exceptional circumstances provided for by the legislation and the case allocation order, the head of the chamber may decide on the reallocation of cases.

## **VI.4. The method of the allocation of cases**

The allocation of judicial review cases is automatic. The allocation of cases to the panels is determined by the last digit of the case number according to the filing within the case categories they handle.

Based on section 11, subsection (2) of the Courts Act, under circumstances defined by the procedural laws as well as by way of an administrative measure, the above method of case allocation may be overwritten for an important reason affecting the court's functioning.

The allocation of appeal cases is automatic. The assignment of cases to the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received, appeal cases are assigned one-by-one to each and every panel in a predetermined order. The first case arriving from 1 January 2023 is to be assigned to Panel no. K.I. Based on section 11, subsection (2) of the Courts Act, under circumstances defined by the procedural laws as well as by way of an administrative measure, the above method of case allocation may be overwritten for an important reason affecting the court's functioning. A note of the deviation shall be made and filed in the case file and shall be available to the parties.

The Curia is to act in a panel of five professional judges. Where the nature of the case so justifies, no more than two members of the panel of five professional judges may be professional judges who are not designated to act as judges in administrative cases. The president of the panel may exceptionally refer the case to a panel of three professional judges [section 8, subsection (6) of Act no. I of 2017 on the Code of Administrative Litigation (hereinafter referred to as the Code of Administrative Litigation)]. In the case of a provision of a separate piece of legislation – such as in proceedings under section 229, subsection (2) of Act no. XXXVI of 2013 on Election Procedure –, the Curia shall act in a panel of three judges.

The Curia's Municipality Panel acts as a panel of five members. The members of the panel in all cases are the heads of the Municipality Panel (Dr. Péter Zsolt Balogh, Dr. András Patyi and Dr. András Zs. Varga) and two other judges. The head of the panel seized changes from case to case, starting from the subsequent first case in an alphabetical order, with the next head of panel coming after one case. The judges are to be appointed to the panel in the following order: starting from the subsequent first case, Dr. Árpád Kiss and Dr. Viola Dobó in one case, then Dr. Tamás Horváth and Dr. Eszter Varga in another case.

In cases relating to classified information, the panel to act shall be composed of Head of Panel Dr. Péter Hajnal, Head of Panel Dr. Zsolt Balogh and Head of Panel Dr. Erzsébet Mudráné Láng, as well as Judge Dr. Judit Banu Zsoltné Szabó and Judge Dr. Barnabás Hajas. The heads of the panel shall preside in turn over the cases, the judges-rapporteurs shall also take turns in carrying out their duties. In the event of substitution within the panel, the following judges shall be appointed to the panel in the following order: Dr. András Zs. Varga and Dr. András Patyi.

Social security, labour authority, employment security and civil service cases are allocated to Panels no. K.III and no. K.VII indicated in the case allocation order on the basis of their subject matter, and the allocation of such cases between them is automatic in accordance with the last digit of their case number.

Financial cases are heard by Panels no. K.I, no. K.V and no. K.VI, the allocation of cases between the panels is automatic on the basis of the last digit of their case number according to point VI.6.1 of the case allocation order.

The allocation of election cases and cases relating to the authentication of a question put to a referendum is automatic. The allocation of cases to the panels is determined by the order in which the cases are received, and two cases are allocated to the next panel in line. If the panel next in line is unable to exercise its judicial function for objective reasons relating to the court's

functioning, then the case is allocated to the panel next in line. In that case, the panel which is to be replaced shall be placed at the end of the case allocation round.

Cases relating to the exercise of the right of assembly are decided by Panels no. K.IV and no. K.VII, with an automatic allocation of cases between them with a ratio of two (Panel no. K.IV) to one (Panel no. K.VII). In the event of a significant caseload in respect of such cases, the person entitled to allocate cases may allocate such cases to Panel no. K.III as well exclusively on the basis of objective criteria, with the aim of ensuring a balanced allocation of cases.

Enforcement cases related to the Curia's first and final instance decisions are to be heard by the panel that has issued the Curia decision concerned.

#### **VI.5. Deviation from the case allocation order**

Deviations from the case allocation order may be made in accordance with the general rules of the present case allocation order, under the circumstances set out in point III.4. For the purpose of ensuring the timeliness of adjudication, the President of the Curia may order by individual measure the reallocation of a group of cases determined by a continuous case number to another panel. If, in the event of an emergency, the panel designated in accordance with the case allocation order is unable, for objective reasons, to carry out its judicial function, the head of the chamber (or the designated deputy head of chamber in his/her capacity as deputy) may, in order to ensure the timeliness of adjudication, deviate from the automatic case allocation order or may arrange for the reallocation of the cases of the panel concerned in a manner different from the general substitution order. A note of the deviation shall be made and filed in the case file and shall be available to the parties. During the judicial vacation, the on-call and stand-by order laid down in a special provision shall apply to all categories of cases dealt with at the chamber.

#### **VI.6. The composition of the panels and their substitution order**

The composition and substitution order of the panels shall be governed by points II.4.1 and II.4.2 of the present case allocation order.

*VI.6.1. The panels to act*

<b>Panel no. K.I</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Péter Hajnal Dr. Erzsébet Mudráné Láng
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Csilla Heinemann Dr. Éva Huszárné Oláh Dr. Judit Banu Zsoltné Szabó
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	Financial cases with case numbers from 35.000 and with case number endings 0, 1, 2 and 3 General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number ending 1 Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question

<b>Panel no. K.II</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Kincső Tóth Dr. András Kovács
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Fruzsina Bögös Dr. Judit Szilas Dr. Ildikó Figula

<b>Panel no. K.II</b>	
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number endings 0 and 2 Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question

<b>Panel no. K.III</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Kálmán Sperka Dr. Katalin Farkas
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Nóra Bérces Dr. Tamás Sugár Dr. Ildikó Gyurán
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number ending 8 Civil service, social security and labour authority cases with case numbers from 45.000 and with case number endings 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question



<b>Panel no. K.IV</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Zsolt Péter Balogh Dr. András Patyi Acting Head of Panel Dr. Viola Dobó
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Árpád Lajos Kiss Dr. Barnabás Hajas
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number endings 3 and 4 Cases relating to the exercise of the right of assembly Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question

<b>The Curia's Municipality Panel</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. András Zs. Varga Dr. András Patyi Dr. Zsolt Péter Balogh
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Tamás Horváth Dr. Árpád Lajos Kiss Dr. Viola Dobó Dr. Eszter Varga
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-

<b>The Curia's Municipality Panel</b>	
<b>Case categories heard</b>	Cases concerning the examination of the incompatibility of a local government decree with other legislation, the failure of the local government to fulfil its legislative obligations under the law, the adoption of a normative decision by the body of representatives of the local government or the failure to fulfil its obligation to adopt such a decision.

<b>Panel no. K.V</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Péter Darák Dr. Gizella Márton
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Márta Anna Stefančík Dr. Anett Ságiné Márkus Dr. Péter Demjén
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	Financial cases with case numbers from 35.000 and with case number endings 5, 7, 8 and 9 General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number ending 5 Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question

<b>Panel no. K.VI</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. Krisztina Kurucz Dr. Tibor Kalas Acting Head of Panel Dr. Beáta Vitál-Eigner
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Judit Bernáthné Kádár Dr. Tamás Horváth Dr. Edina Rák-Fekete
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	Financial cases with case numbers from 35.000 and with case number endings 4 and 6 General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number ending 9 Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question

<b>Panel no. K.VII</b>	
<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. András Zs. Varga Dr. Ildikó Fekete <sup>4</sup> Dr. Katalin Magyarfalvi

---

<sup>4</sup> Exempted from work from 12 March 2023 until 11 June 2023.

<b>Panel no. K.VII</b>	
<b>Members of the panel</b>	Dr. Gábor Remes Dr. József Cséffán Dr. Eszter Varga
<b>Seconded judge</b>	-
<b>Case categories heard</b>	General administrative review cases with case numbers from 37.000 and with case number endings 6 and 7 Cases relating to the exercise of the right of assembly Civil service, social security and labour authority cases with case numbers from 45.000 and with case number endings 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 Election cases, cases related to the authentication of a referendum question

#### ***VI.6.2. The substitution order of the panels***

The following special substitution order shall apply to the substitution of the panels, in addition to the general arrangements set out in point II.4.2 of the present case allocation order: In accordance with the applicable rules of procedure, the substitution of a three-member formation of the panel shall be made primarily within the panel. Where this is not possible, and in the case of a five-member panel formation, the following substitution order shall apply:

- a) Panel no. K.I is to be replaced by Panel no. K.V,
- b) Panel no. K.II is to be replaced by Panel no. K.IV,
- c) Panel no. K.III is to be replaced by Panel no. K.VII,
- d) Panel no. K.IV is to be replaced by Panel no. K.II,
- e) Panel no. K.V is to be replaced by Panel no. K.VI,
- f) Panel no. K.VI is to be replaced by Panel no. K.I,
- g) Panel no. K.VII is to be replaced by Panel no. K.III.

In the Municipality Panel, Dr Péter Demjén and Dr Tibor Kalas are appointed as substitute judges, and they are to act as substitute judges in the alphabetical order of their names.

#### **VI.7. The order of the reallocation of cases**

The reallocation of cases shall take place in accordance with the general rules set out in point II.4.3 of the present case allocation order.

### **VII. Inter-chamber panels**

#### **VII.1. Uniformity complaint panels**

##### **Panel no. I**

<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. András Zs. Varga, President of the Curia
----------------------	--

<b>Members of the panel</b>	Head of Panel Dr. Zsolt Péter Balogh
	Head of Panel Dr. Géza Bartal
	Head of Panel Dr. Levente Bartkó
	Head of Chamber and Head of Panel Dr. Zsolt Csák
	Head of Panel Dr. Péter Darák
	Head of Panel Dr. Alexa Domonyai
	Head of Panel Dr. Attila Döme
	Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Dzsula Marianna
	Head of Panel Dr. Attila Farkas
	Head of Panel Dr. Ildikó Fekete <sup>5</sup>
	Head of Panel Dr. Edit Hajdu
	Deputy Head of Chamber and Head of Panel Dr. Péter Hajnal
	Head of Panel Dr. Attila Harangozó
	Head of Panel Dr. Mária Harter <sup>7</sup>
	Head of Panel Dr. András Kovács
	Head of Panel Dr. Zsuzsanna Kovács
	Head of Panel Dr. Krisztina Kurucz
	Head of Panel Dr. Ildikó Nyírőné Kiss
	Vice-President and Head of Panel Dr. András Patyi
	Deputy Head of Chamber and Head of Panel Dr. Kálmán Sperka
Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Rita Tánczos	

## Panel no. II

<b>Head of panel</b>	Dr. András Zs. Varga, President of the Curia
----------------------	--

<sup>5</sup> Exempted from work from 12 March 2023 until 11 June 2023.

<sup>7</sup> Exempted from work from 28 February 2023 until 29 May 2023.

<b>Members of the panel</b>	Vice-President and Head of Panel Dr. Katalin Böszörményiné Kovács Head of Panel Dr. Katalin Farkas Head of Chamber and Head of Panel Dr. Tibor Kalas Secretary General Dr. Judit Gyarmathy Head of Panel Dr. Ágnes Zsuzsanna Gimesi Head of Panel Dr. Katalin Magyarfalvi Head of Panel Dr. Gizella Márton Head of Panel Dr. Ferencné Molnár Head of Panel Dr. Erzsébet Mudráné Láng Head of Chamber and Head of Panel Dr. Árpád Orosz Vice-President and Head of Panel Dr. András Patyi Head of Panel Dr. Péter Puskás Head of Panel Dr. Judit Salamonné Piltz Head of Panel Dr. Katalin Simonné Gombos Head of Panel Dr. Gábor Somogyi Head of Panel Dr. Ildikó Suba Head of Panel Dr. Klára Szabó Head of Panel Dr. Marianna Stark Head of Panel Dr. Kincső Tóth Acting Head of Panel Dr. Beáta Vitál-Eigner
-----------------------------	--

### **VII.1.1. The method of the allocation of cases**

The way in which uniformity complaint cases are allocated is automatic. The allocation of cases to the panels is determined by the last digit of their case number, with odd-numbered cases being assigned to Panel no. I and even-numbered cases and cases ending in 0 being assigned to Panel no. II.

This method of case allocation shall also be applied to pending cases as from 1 January 2022, their reallocation being governed by the person of the judge who is the judge-rapporteur in the pending case.

## VII.2. The panel to deal with cases defined in Chapter XXIV of the 1952 Code of Civil Procedure and in Chapter XXX of the Code of Civil Procedure

<b>Head of panel</b>	Head of Chamber Dr. Tibor Kalas  (in his absence: Vice-President Dr. Katalin Böszörményiné Kovács)
<b>Members of the panel</b>	According to point VII.2.1
<b>Designated members of the panel <i>Civil Chamber</i></b>	Vice-President Dr. Katalin Böszörményiné Kovács  Head of Panel Dr. Attila Farkas  Dr. Andrea Csőke <sup>6</sup>  Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Rita Tánczos
<b><i>Administrative Chamber</i></b>	Head of Panel Dr. Zsolt Péter Balogh  Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Kálmán Sperka  Dr. Tamás Sugár

### *VII.2.1. The composition of the panel dealing with cases defined in Chapter XXIV of the 1952 Code of Civil Procedure and in Chapter XXX of the Code of Civil Procedure*

The panel consists of the head and two members. The panel members shall be chosen by the head of panel from among the designated members of the Curia's chambers, one of whom shall in each case be a judge dealing with the same field of law as that of the case concerned.

### *VII.2.2. The substitution order of the panel dealing with cases defined in Chapter XXIV of the 1952 Code of Civil Procedure and in Chapter XXX of the Code of Civil Procedure*

In the event that a member of the panel dealing with cases defined in Chapter XXIV of the 1952 Code of Civil Procedure and in Chapter XXX of the Code of Civil Procedure is excluded from the adjudication of the case, the substitute member shall be chosen by the head of panel from among the other designated members.

---

<sup>6</sup> Exempted from work until 27 February 2023.



### VII.3. The panel to deal with cases defined in section 15, subsection (4) of the Code of Administrative Litigation

<b>Head of panel</b>	Head of Chamber Dr. Tibor Kalas  (in his absence: Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Kálmán Sperka)
<b>Members of the panel</b>	According to point VII.3.1
<b>Designated members of the panel</b> <i>Administrative Chamber</i>	Head of Panel Dr. Zsolt Péter Balogh  Head of Panel Dr. Katalin Magyarfalvi  Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Kálmán Sperka  Dr. Tamás Sugár
<i>Civil Chamber</i>	Vice-President Dr. Katalin Böszörményiné Kovács  Dr. Andrea Csőke <sup>7</sup>  Head of Panel Dr. Attila Farkas  Head of Panel Dr. Edit Hajdu  Deputy Head of Chamber Dr. Rita Tánczos

#### *VII.3.1. The composition of the panel dealing with cases defined in section 15, subsection (4) of the Code of Administrative Litigation*

The panel consists of the head and four members. The panel members shall be chosen by the head of panel from among the designated members of the Curia's chambers, the head of panel and two members shall in each case be administrative judges, while the remaining two members shall in each case be judges dealing with the same field of law as that of the case concerned.

#### *VII.3.2. The substitution order of the panel dealing with cases defined in section 15, subsection (4) of the Code of Administrative Litigation*

In the event that a member of the panel dealing with cases defined in Chapter XXIV of the 1952 Code of Civil Procedure and in Chapter XXX of the Code of Civil Procedure is excluded from

---

<sup>7</sup> Exempted from work until 27 February 2023.

the adjudication of the case, the substitute member shall be chosen by the head of panel from among the other designated members.

Budapest, 4 November 2022

Dr. András Zs. Varga, mp.

Review clause:

The text, effective as from 1 January 2023, of the case allocation order

- was unanimously supported by the Curia's Civil Chamber at its 27 October 2022 meeting,
- was unanimously supported by the Curia's Criminal Chamber at its 26 October 2022 meeting,
- was unanimously supported by the Curia's Administrative Chamber at its 25 October 2022 meeting.

According to the decision of the Curia's Judicial Council of 3 November 2022, the Judicial Council agrees with the Curia's case allocation order effective from 1 January 2023.